NOTICE REGARDING AFFIRMATION OF CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS

This document supersedes all prior written and oral communications and has preferred authority over any unconstitutional mandates, laws, orders and recommendations not founded under any certified contract agreement.

I, <u>First Middle Last ©</u>, am affirming my Constitutionally Protected Freedoms and my Unalienable Rights. No exemption(s) is/are required as per Amendments 1 and 4 protected under The Constitution for the united States of America, enshrining the freedom of religion and to be secure in my own private person.

As an employer, government official, school superintendent or otherwise you are without ANY LAWFUL AUTHORITY OR ANY KNOWN CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENT OF MY CONSENT to deprive me of my Constitutionally protected rights that are given and governed under my Creator. You SHALL NOT have without my willful and knowing consent the ability and authority to govern my freedom or my unalienable rights.

I shall not answer any questions as to my religious leadership, place of worship, or any details of my faith, deeply held religious beliefs or any and all of my personal and private medical property.

This shall and will serve as the final correspondence necessary to secure my protected freedoms under the Constitution from any and all trespasses and unlawful violations of unwarranted and experimental medical procedures, social restraint or enforcement of "color of law" repugnant to the Constitution for the united States of America for which the Constitution is founded on and serves to lawfully protect.

If any person, entity, corporation, or government fiction acting in absolute defiance and unlawful seizure of my rights, without my written and expressed authority and permission, shall stand in violation of my consent shall and will be held to answer in a formal court of law for the deprivation and trespass on my unalienable rights to the fullest extent of the law. When one does knowingly violate the rights of the people, no judicial immunity can be ascertained or had due to their knowing and willing acts of treason and sedition against the Constitution. Those that unlawfully seek to do harm and injury shall be held to the highest degree and penalty our justice will allow. My consent to be governed by unlawful mandates, statutes and codes, is hereby withdrawn providing no consent nor authority to be dominated and forced by any employer, government official, school superintendent or otherwise.

AMENDMENT I

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

AMENDMENT IV

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

<u>Title 18 U.S.C. §241 Conspiracy Against Rights</u>. If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

<u>Title 18 U.S.C. §242 Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law</u> makes it a crime for a person acting under color of any law to willfully deprive a person of a right or privilege protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

<u>16 Am Jur 2d §71 Effect of Emergency</u>. It is sometimes argued that the existence of an emergency allows the existence and operation of powers, national or state, which violate the inhibitions of the Federal Constitution. The rule is quite otherwise. No emergency justifies the violation of any of the provisions of the United States Constitution.

<u>16 Am Jur 2d Sec 177 late 2d, Sec 256</u>: The general misconception is that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. The U.S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and any statute, to be valid, must be in agreement. It is impossible for both the Constitution and a law violating it to be valid; one must prevail. An unconstitutional law cannot operate to supersede any existing valid law. Indeed, insofar as a statute runs counter to the fundamental law of the land, it is superseded thereby. No one Is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it

21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3, "Authorization for medical products for use in emergencies": Appropriate conditions designed to ensure that individuals to whom the product is administered are informed.

<u>The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission</u> (EEOC) guidance on mandates. Regardless of whether these products are under EUA, or move into full licensure, anti-discrimination laws, both State and Federal, still apply regardless of mandates or product authorization. Even the EEOC stated that "EUA recognized protections that must be afforded to employees seeking exemption from vaccination [or other] requirements, due to medical conditions or sincerely-held religious beliefs."

The following Federal and State Laws continue to ensure protection in numerous ways, including; sincerely-held religious beliefs, medical conditions, disability, or other unstated condition(s):

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

 <u>The Rehabilitation Act</u> (including the requirement for reasonable accommodations and nondiscrimination based on disability, as well as strict rules about employer-mandated or employer-led medical examinations and inquiries)

 <u>Title VII of the Civil Rights Act</u> (which prohibits discrimination based on race, color, national origin, religion, and sex, including pregnancy)

The Age Discrimination in Employment Act (which prohibits discrimination based on age, 40 or older)

The Genetic Information Non-discrimination Act

Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 180 (1803) ". "All law (rules and practices) which are repugnant to the Constitution are null and VOID". The 14th Amendment to the Constitution states "NO State (Jurisdiction) shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the rights, privileges, or immunities of citizens of the United States nor deprive any citizens of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law, ... or equal protection under the law", this renders judicial immunity unconstitutional.

Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 237, 243. (1985) states that I can stand upon my God given rights as an American citizen, my right to contract is unlimited, and that I owe NO DUTY to the state.

Mattox v. U.S., 156 US 237, 243. (1985) "We are bound to interpret the Constitution in the light of the law as it existed at the time it was adopted."

<u>City of Dallas v Mitchell, 245 S.W. 944.</u> "To take away all remedy for the enforcement of a right is to take away the right itself. But that is not within the power of the State."

Miller v. U.S., 230 F 2d. 486, 490; 42 "There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of Constitutional rights."

Murdock v Pennsylvania, 319 U.S. 105 "No state shall convert a liberty into a license and charge a fee therefore."

DOMESTIC TERRORISM

Section 802 of the USA PATRIOT Act (Pub. L. No. 107-52)

Expanded the definition of terrorism to cover ""domestic,"" as opposed to international, terrorism. A person engages in domestic terrorism if they do an act "dangerous to human life" that is a violation of the criminal laws of a state or the United States, if the act appears to be intended to: (i) intimidate or coerce a civilian population; (ii) influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion.

Under the Nuremberg Code, U.S.A. v. Karl Brandt (1947): Twenty-three doctors and administrators accused of organizing and participating in war crimes against humanity in the form of medical experiments and medical procedures inflicted on prisoners and civilians. The four counts of indictments are: Conspiracy to commit war crimes against humanity, War Crimes, Crimes against humanity and Membership in a criminal organization.

The declaration of a State of Emergency for the COVID-19 diagnosis criteria for a series of pneumonia and influenza related symptoms and the allegations of the existence of a "novel coronavirus" is based on a series of assumptions that are patently false.

1. According to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses' (ICTV) Coronaviridae Study Group (CSG) publication on March 2, 2020, the preliminary data suggesting that there was sufficient variation to determine this to be a novel virus vs. a mutation of known coronaviruses, was not based on established scientific principles but was responsive to the World Health Organization's prior unfounded declaration of novelty of both the virus and a new disease;

There could be no independent verification of the epidemiologic models predicting dire infection and mortality rates as the underlying models and data were not published, and when sought, were reportedly corrupted so as to make their examination impossible;

 In violation of State law, no medical or scientific evidence was provided to establish even causal links between the SARS CoV-2 and the symptoms of COVID-19, relying instead on foreign government hearsay and conjecture;

4. Since 2003, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and their subordinate organizations – the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) – maintained a patent preventing any independent organization from testing for the presence of coronavirus transmissible to humans through 2018 resulting in a complete lack of testing technologies;

5. No State official reviewed for accuracy or veracity any of the causal statements made in the Declaration of Emergency which contain false, misleading, and terror inducing statements;

6. In violation of well-established legal precedent from Jew Ho v. Williamson, 103 F. 10, 26 (C.C.N.D. Cal. 1900) and subsequent public health law, arbitrary and capricious rules were inflicted upon a part of the population that were not applied generally, resulting in the unlawful confinement of a healthy population with no basis in science or fact;

7. The Governor failed to provide adequate testing to confirm or deny the presence or absence of "a novel coronavirus" and, based on recent reports from testing of incarcerated persons reported by Reuters, 96% of prisoners testing positive for coronavirus are asymptomatic, demonstrating a failure to establish even a statistical link between the virus and the disease;

8. Neither the Governor, public health officer nor any corporate entity has followed evidence-based, peer-reviewed, clinical science showing that neither social distancing (of up to 6 feet of separation), nor the wearing of masks has any clinical effect in a healthy population and that instituting such policies is exclusively for the inducement of fear and terror in the population.

"THERE IS NO CLINICAL DATA SHOWING THAT THE 'RESTRAINT OF HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS' HAS ANY EMPIRICAL DATA SUPPORTING ITS USE. NO EVIDENCE SUPPORTING EMERGENCY DECLARATIONS HAVE BEEN OFFERED WITH THE EXCEPTION OF STATEMENTS MADE BY COLLUDING PARTIES SEEKING TO BENEFIT FROM VACCINATIONS, TESTING OR THE COMBINATION –NEITHER OF WHICH CAN BE REASONABLY EXPECTED GIVEN THE PATENTS GRANTED TO AND HELD BY THE COLLUDING PARTIES." I am lawfully protected through the "Bill of Rights" and shall be given the opportunity to decide to consent, or not to consent, to any and all medical experiments, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, bullying, coercion, intimidation or undue influence on my proper and lawful decision.

This Notice presents a number of grave, ethical, medical, economic, and unconscionable risks that are taken by any and all who knowingly and willingly choose to ignore the severity of the hidden consequences. Those that fail to adhere to Federal laws, the Constitution for the united States of America, The Bill of Rights and my unalienable rights shall and will be prosecuted to the fullest extent that the law will allow.

NOTICE REGARDING AFFIRMATION OF CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS

28 U.S.C. §1333, §1337, §2461 and §2463

A private and not a Public Communication

Notice to Agent is Notice to Principle

Notice to Principle is Notice to Agent

Applicable to all successors and assigns

Silence is Acquiescence/Agreement/Dishonor

THIS IS A SELF EXECUTING CONTRACT

RESISTANCE TO TYRANTS IS OBEDIENCE TO GOD." - BEN FRANKLIN

All Rights Reserved,

I, Your Full Name Here

Date

NOTARY STATEMENT

In Your State, County of Your County, I swear that on this ______ day of ______, 2021, the above named, First, Middle, Last Name, personally appeared before me, and of his/her own free will, signed and executed this Notice Regarding Affirmation of Constitutionally Protected Rights.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:_____

Seal: